

Battery Separator Selection Guide: Choosing the Right Separator for CR2032 Coin Cell Research

Comprehensive analysis of performance differences among mainstream lithium battery separators like Celgard 2320, 2325, 2400, and 2500, guiding separator material selection for Na-ion, K-ion, Li-ion, and Li-S battery research through four dimensions: thickness, porosity, wettability, and mechanical strength.

Last updated: 2024-09-16 · Read online: <https://labcorematerials.com/resources/battery-separator-selection-guide>

Why Separator Selection Matters

In coin cell research, the separator is one of the critical components determining battery performance. An unsuitable separator can lead to:

- **High internal resistance:** Excessive thickness or insufficient porosity blocks ion transport
- **Shortened cycle life:** Inadequate mechanical strength causes separator damage during long-term cycling
- **Safety hazards:** Poor thermal stability leads to shrinkage at high temperatures, causing short circuits
- **Data distortion:** Poor wettability results in uneven electrolyte distribution

Choosing the right separator makes your experimental data more accurate and stable, while avoiding time and material waste from repeat experiments.

Mainstream Separator Comparison

Celgard 2320 (PP/PE/PP trilayer, 20µm)

Core Features:

- **Thinnest trilayer structure**, lowest resistance
- High energy density design, suitable for capacity-priority research
- PE layer provides thermal shutdown function (~130°C)

Suitable For:

- High specific energy lithium-ion battery material development
- Space-limited CR2032 cells (when more active material is needed)
- Low-temperature performance studies (thin film reduces ion transport resistance)

Not Suitable For:

- High-rate discharge testing (relatively lower porosity)

- Scenarios requiring extremely high mechanical strength
-

Celgard 2325 (PP/PE/PP trilayer, 25µm)

Core Features:

- **Most balanced** trilayer separator, industry workhorse
- Balances safety and electrochemical performance
- Thermal stability superior to monolayer PP

Suitable For:

- Standard lithium-ion battery research (most universal choice)
- Safety testing requiring thermal shutdown function
- Novice researchers (high fault tolerance)
- Sodium-ion and potassium-ion battery research

Advantages:

- Good assembly tolerance, not easily damaged
 - Moderate wetting speed, uniform electrolyte distribution
 - Reasonable price, best value
-

Celgard 2400 (PP/PE/PP trilayer, 25µm)

Core Features:

- Same thickness as 2325 but slightly lower porosity
- Higher mechanical strength
- Lower thermal shrinkage rate

Suitable For:

- Long-term cycle life testing (>1000 cycles)
- High-temperature environment testing (>60°C)
- Battery systems requiring higher mechanical strength

Compared to 2325:

- 2400 better for high temperature and long cycling
- 2325 better for routine testing and fast charging research

Detailed Comparison: See our [Celgard 2325 vs 2400 article](#)

Celgard 2500 (PP monolayer, 25µm)

Core Features:

- **Highest porosity** (55% vs 39-41% for trilayers)

- Best suited for high-rate and fast-charging research
- No PE layer, no thermal shutdown function

Suitable For:

- High-rate lithium-ion battery R&D (>5C discharge)
- Fast charging performance optimization
- Li-S batteries (high porosity accommodates polysulfides)
- Low-temperature performance improvement

Precautions:

- Lacks thermal shutdown, use caution in safety testing
 - Slightly lower mechanical strength than trilayers, handle carefully during assembly
 - Fast wetting speed, assemble promptly after electrolyte addition
-

Four-Dimensional Selection Framework

1. Thickness Dimension

20μm (2320):

-  Lowest resistance, high energy density
-  Relatively weaker mechanical strength

25μm (2325/2400/2500):

-  Balances performance and safety
-  Better assembly tolerance

Decision Advice: Unless you explicitly need ultimate energy density, 25μm is the safer choice.

2. Porosity Dimension

Low Porosity (~39%, 2400):

-  High mechanical strength, long cycling stability
-  Slightly higher ion transport resistance

Medium Porosity (~41%, 2325):

-  Balanced performance
-  Suitable for most research scenarios

High Porosity (~55%, 2500):

-  Best high-rate performance
-  Relatively lower mechanical strength

Decision Advice:

- Routine testing → 2325
 - Rate performance studies → 2500
 - Long cycle life → 2400
-

3. Thermal Stability Dimension**Trilayer Structure (2320/2325/2400):**

-  PE layer provides thermal shutdown
-  Automatically closes ion channels at ~130°C
-  Essential for safety testing

Monolayer Structure (2500):

-  No thermal shutdown function
-  May shrink at high temperatures causing short circuits
-  Better high-temperature tolerance (PP melting point ~165°C)

Decision Advice:

- Safety testing, overcharge testing → Must use trilayer
 - Routine electrochemical testing → Either works
 - High-temperature environments (>80°C) → Monolayer more stable
-

4. Wettability Dimension**Carbonate Electrolytes (EC/DMC/DEC):**

- All Celgard separators wet well
- 2500 wets fastest due to high porosity

Ether Electrolytes (DOL/DME, for Li-S batteries):

- Recommend 2500 monolayer
- High porosity helps accommodate polysulfides

Ionic Liquid Electrolytes:

- High viscosity, recommend high-porosity separator (2500)
- Or choose thinner separator (2320) to reduce resistance

Aqueous Electrolytes:

- PP/PE separators wet poorly
 - Consider glass fiber separators or Celgard hydrophilic-modified versions
-

Selection by Battery System

Lithium-Ion Batteries (Standard Carbonate System)

First Choice: Celgard 2325

- Best value
- Balanced performance
- Good fault tolerance

Alternatives:

- High energy density pursuit → 2320
 - Long cycling tests (>500 cycles) → 2400
 - Fast charging/high-rate research → 2500
-

Sodium-Ion / Potassium-Ion Batteries

Recommended: Celgard 2325 or 2500

Rationale:

- Na^+ and K^+ ionic radii larger than Li^+
- Need higher porosity to reduce transport resistance
- 2500's high porosity shows greater advantage in Na/K batteries

Note: Sodium-ion battery electrolytes may contain fluorine salts, confirm separator chemical compatibility

Li-S Batteries

First Choice: Celgard 2500

Rationale:

- 55% high porosity accommodates polysulfides
- Ether electrolytes wet quickly
- Monolayer structure reduces resistance

Alternative Approaches:

- Coat functional layer on 2500 surface (e.g., MOF, graphene)
 - Use dual-separator configuration (2500 + glass fiber)
-

Solid-State/Semi-Solid-State Batteries

Not Recommended for polyolefin separators

Alternatives:

- Ceramic-coated separators

- Polymer electrolyte composite separators
 - Oxide solid electrolyte sheets
-

Practical Selection Flowchart

Step 1: Determine Battery System

Li-ion (carbonate) → Continue to Step 2

Na-ion / K-ion → Recommend 2325 or 2500

Li-S (ether) → Recommend 2500

Aqueous batteries → Consider glass fiber

Step 2: Determine Research Focus

Routine performance testing → 2325 (most universal)

High energy density → 2320 (thinnest)

Fast charging/high-rate → 2500 (high porosity)

Long cycle life → 2400 (high strength)

Safety testing → 2325 or 2400 (thermal shutdown)

Step 3: Consider Experimental Conditions

Novice researchers → 2325 (high tolerance)

High-temperature testing ($>60^{\circ}\text{C}$) → 2400 or 2500

Low-temperature testing ($<0^{\circ}\text{C}$) → 2320 or 2500 (thin/high porosity)

Long-term projects → Prepare multiple separators for comparison

Common Misconceptions

Misconception 1: "Thinner is Always Better"

 **Wrong:** Assuming thinner separators always yield better battery performance

 **Correct:**

- Thinner → Lower resistance → But reduced mechanical strength
 - For beginners, $25\mu\text{m}$ is easier to assemble successfully than $20\mu\text{m}$
 - Only pursue ultimate thinness when space is extremely limited
-

Misconception 2: "Higher Porosity is Always Better"

 **Wrong:** Always choosing the highest porosity separator

 **Correct:**

- High porosity → Better high-rate → But may reduce long cycling stability

- For capacity-type batteries (e.g., energy storage), moderate porosity is more appropriate
 - Safety-sensitive applications should balance porosity and mechanical strength
-

Misconception 3: "Monolayers are Always Inferior to Trilayers"

 **Wrong:** Assuming trilayers are superior in all scenarios

 **Correct:**

- Trilayers: Better safety (thermal shutdown)
 - Monolayers: Better high-rate performance (high porosity)
 - Choice depends on research goals, not absolute superiority
-

Misconception 4: "All Celgard Separators are the Same"

 **Wrong:** Any Celgard separator will do

 **Correct:**

- 2320 vs 2325: 5µm thickness difference significantly impacts space-limited designs
- 2325 vs 2400: Porosity difference manifests clearly in long cycling tests
- 2325 vs 2500: Structural difference directly affects high-rate performance

Choosing the right model yields better data and avoids repeat experiments.

Procurement Advice

Budget-Limited Labs

Recommended Strategy:

- Primarily purchase **Celgard 2325** (covers 80% of research scenarios)
- Stock small quantities of **2500** (for high-rate/fast-charging research)

Best Value Combination:

- 2325 × 1000 pieces
 - 2500 × 500 pieces
-

Multi-Direction Research Teams

Recommended Strategy: Prepare complete product line

- 2320 × 500 pieces (high energy density projects)
- 2325 × 1000 pieces (standard testing)
- 2400 × 500 pieces (long cycling/high-temperature projects)
- 2500 × 500 pieces (fast charging/Li-S projects)

Advantages:

- Use most suitable separator for different projects
 - Facilitate comparative experiments validating separator impact
-

New Labs

First Purchase Advice:

- Start with **2325** (most universal)
- Buy small packages first for each size (100-500 pieces)
- Scale up purchases based on actual research direction

Avoid Waste:

- Don't bulk purchase multiple models at once
 - Do small-batch testing first, confirm suitability before scaling up
-

Pre-Cut Discs vs Roll Material

Pre-Cut Discs ($\varnothing$ 16mm / $\varnothing$ 18mm / $\varnothing$ 19mm)

Advantages:

- Ready to use out of package, no cutting needed
- Good size consistency, high data reproducibility
- Time-saving, suitable for high-throughput testing
- Avoids contamination and static from manual cutting

Disadvantages:

- Slightly higher unit price than rolls
- Fixed sizes, not suitable for special size requirements

Suitable For: Most CR2032 coin cell research

Roll Material (100mm × 10m / Custom Sizes)

Advantages:

- Lower bulk purchase cost
- Can customize cutting sizes
- Suitable for pouch cells or large-area electrodes

Disadvantages:

- Requires punch dies or manual cutting
- Improper handling may contaminate separator

- Inconsistent cutting sizes affect data

Suitable For:

- Pouch cell development
- Labs consuming >5000 pieces annually
- Scenarios requiring special sizes

Recommended: Check our [Celgard 2340 Roll Material](#)

Storage and Usage Precautions

Storage Conditions

- **Temperature:** Room temperature (15-25°C), avoid heat and freezing
- **Humidity:** Dry environment, <50% humidity
- **Light:** Avoid direct sunlight to prevent aging
- **Sealing:** Seal promptly after opening to prevent moisture absorption and contamination

Pre-Use Preparation

1. **Equilibration:** After removing from refrigerator, wait to reach room temperature before opening
2. **Clean Environment:** Operate in glove box or clean workstation
3. **Anti-Static:** Use anti-static tweezers to avoid separator sticking
4. **Visual Inspection:** Check for damage or contamination before use

Common Questions

Q1: Can separators be reused?

A: Not recommended. Disassembled separators may have microscopic damage or contamination, affecting data accuracy.

Q2: Do separators need pretreatment?

A: Celgard separators are factory-clean, no additional pretreatment needed. If modification (e.g., coating) is required, ensure membrane structure is not damaged.

Q3: How to judge if separator is fully wetted?

A: Separator changes from white translucent to transparent, indicating full wetting. Let stand 30 minutes before cell assembly.

Summary: Quick Selection Table

Research Scenario	First Choice	Alternative
Standard Li-ion battery	2325	2320 / 2400
High energy density research	2320	2325
Fast charging/high-rate	2500	2325
Long cycle life (>500 cycles)	2400	2325
High-temperature testing (>60°C)	2400 / 2500	-
Na-ion/K-ion batteries	2325 / 2500	-
Li-S batteries	2500	2325 + coating
Safety testing	2325 / 2400	-
Beginner entry	2325	-

Start Your Separator Research

Browse our separator product line now:

- [φ18mm Separator Disc Kit](#) - Covers 2320/2325/2500 in one package
- [φ19mm Separator Discs](#) - Multiple models available
- [100mm Separator Rolls](#) - Suitable for bulk use

Need selection advice? [Contact our technical team](#) - We provide free technical consultation and sample testing services.

Enquiries: business@labcorematerials.com · labcorematerials.com

This guide is provided for research reference only. Verify all parameters against your own equipment and safety procedures before use.