

304 vs 316 Stainless Steel Coin Cell Cases: Material Selection Guide

Comprehensive comparison of 304 vs 316 stainless steel for CR2032 coin cell cases, analyzing corrosion resistance, cost, and electrochemical performance to guide material selection in lithium-ion battery research.

Last updated: 2024-09-16 · Read online: <https://labcorematerials.com/resources/304-vs-316-stainless-steel-coin-cell-cases>

Key Differences at a Glance

In coin cell research, 304 and 316 stainless steel are the two most common materials for battery cases. While both are austenitic stainless steels, they differ significantly in composition, performance, and application scenarios.

Composition Difference: 316 stainless steel contains 2-3% more molybdenum (Mo) than 304, directly impacting corrosion resistance. 304 contains 18% chromium and 8% nickel, while 316 contains 16-18% chromium, 10-14% nickel, and 2-3% molybdenum.

Price Comparison: Due to higher molybdenum content, 316 stainless steel typically costs 20-30% more than 304. For labs producing coin cells in bulk, this cost difference is significant.

Corrosion Resistance: The molybdenum in 316 significantly enhances resistance to chlorides and acidic environments, which is particularly important in certain electrolyte systems.

Corrosion Resistance Comparison

In lithium-ion battery research, electrolyte corrosivity is a key factor in selecting case materials.

Standard Carbonate Electrolytes

For EC/DMC/DEC systems, both 304 and 316 perform well. Most commercial lithium-ion battery research can use 304 without the extra cost of 316.

Chloride-Containing Electrolytes

When researching chloride additives or special electrolyte formulations, 316 shows clear advantages. Molybdenum effectively prevents pitting corrosion and extends case lifespan. In actual testing, under salt spray conditions, 316's corrosion resistance time is approximately 2-3 times longer than 304.

High-Temperature Environments

For high-temperature cycling tests $>60^{\circ}\text{C}$, 316 stainless steel offers superior oxidation resistance, suitable for thermal stability studies and high-temperature aging tests.

Acidic Electrolytes

For pH < 4 acidic systems (such as some aqueous batteries or Li-S battery electrolytes), 316 is the safer choice. 304 may experience intergranular corrosion in strong acid environments, leading to case structural failure.

Electrochemical Performance Impact

Case material selection affects not only mechanical properties but may also subtly impact electrochemical test results.

Conductivity and Contact Resistance

304 and 316 have similar electrical conductivity (both $\sim 1.45 \mu\Omega\cdot\text{m}$), with negligible difference in coin cell contact resistance. For standard charge-discharge testing, neither material causes noticeable performance differences.

Passivation Film Stability

Molybdenum in 316 creates a more stable surface passivation film, maintaining more consistent contact resistance during long-term cycling. If your test cycles exceed 500 cycles, 316 may provide more stable data reproducibility.

Trace Metal Dissolution

Under extreme conditions (such as high voltage >4.5V or strong acid electrolytes), 304 stainless steel may release trace amounts of iron and chromium ions, contaminating electrode materials. 316's corrosion resistance provides additional insurance in this regard.

Magnetic Properties

Both are non-magnetic materials (annealed state), but 304 may develop slight magnetism after cold working, while 316 exhibits lower magnetism. For scenarios requiring magnetic testing or NMR studies, 316 is the preferred choice.

Cost-Benefit Analysis

With limited lab budgets, how do you balance performance and cost?

Price Difference

Taking CR2032 cases as an example, 304 stainless steel versions cost approximately \$30-35 per 100 sets, while 316 versions cost \$38-45. For a lab consuming 1,000 cases annually, choosing 304 saves about \$100.

Service Life

In standard carbonate electrolyte systems, 304 and 316 have comparable lifespans (both reusable 3-5 times). However, in corrosive electrolytes, 316's lifespan can reach twice that of 304, making it more economical long-term.

Decision Tree

- **Standard lithium-ion battery research** → 304 (best value)
- **Chloride additives, acidic electrolytes** → 316 (mandatory)
- **High-temperature testing (>60°C)** → 316 (recommended)
- **Aqueous batteries, Li-S batteries** → 316 (recommended)
- **Long-term cycling (>500 cycles)** → 316 (more stable data)

Procurement Advice

If your research involves multiple electrolyte systems, consider stocking both materials. Use 304 for routine testing to reduce costs, and 316 for special systems to ensure reliability.

Practical Application Recommendations

Novice Researchers

If you're just starting battery assembly experiments, begin with 304. It meets most standard testing needs while reducing costs as you familiarize yourself with assembly procedures. After gaining experience, decide whether to upgrade to 316 based on specific research requirements.

Electrolyte Development Teams

If your research focuses on novel electrolyte formulations (especially with additives or unconventional solvents), choose 316 directly. The extra 20-30% cost prevents data distortion and repeated experiments caused by material corrosion.

Long-Term Cycling Tests

For cycling life tests running for months, 316's stability advantages accumulate over time. While the initial investment is higher, it reduces data fluctuations caused by contact resistance changes.

High-Throughput Screening

If you need to assemble numerous cells simultaneously for material screening, 304's cost advantage is significant. Use 304 for initial screening, then validate promising materials with 316.

Storage Environment

If your lab is in a high-humidity or coastal area, 316 better resists environmental corrosion, extending the lifespan of cases and assembly fixtures.

Quality Control

Regardless of material choice, confirm compliance with ASTM A240 (304) or ASTM A240 (316) standards when purchasing, avoiding recycled materials or products with unclear "304L" or "316L" labeling.

Conclusion

For most standard lithium-ion battery research, **304 stainless steel is the best value choice**. It meets the needs of standard carbonate electrolyte systems, offers reasonable pricing, and has high assembly tolerance.

When your research involves corrosive electrolytes, high-temperature environments, or long-term cycling tests, **the extra investment in 316 stainless steel is worthwhile**. It provides better corrosion resistance, more stable electrochemical performance, and longer service life.

The best strategy is to choose flexibly based on project needs: use 304 for routine testing to control costs, and 316 for critical experiments to ensure reliability.

Ready to start your coin cell research? Check out our [CR2032 Coin Cell Kit](#), available in both 304 and 316 stainless steel options.

Enquiries: business@labcorematerials.com · labcorematerials.com

This guide is provided for research reference only. Verify all parameters against your own equipment and safety procedures before use.